

# Laender 64

## Five Federated States

### Transaction Summary

**Joint Issue:** The EUR1 billion fixed-rate bonds are the first German Laender jumbo issue of 2024 and the 64th Laender joint jumbo issue. The bonds are issued under German law and are the several but not the joint liability of the Laender involved, according to a fixed distribution between them. The bonds are senior unsecured and rank pari passu with all previous and future obligations of the issuers.

**Division of Proceeds:** Each of the five participating states – Bremen, Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, Rhineland-Palatinate, Saarland and Schleswig-Holstein – will receive EUR200 million.

**Laender Credit Quality Supports Rating:** Fitch Ratings has assigned this issue an expected Long-Term Local-Currency Issuer Default Rating (IDR) of 'AAA(EXP)'. The rating reflects the creditworthiness of the five German federated states (Laender) involved in the joint issue. The final rating is contingent on the receipt of final documents conforming to information already received.

### Key Rating Drivers

**Creditworthiness of Laender:** Fitch affirmed the ratings of the participating German Laender on 8, 15 and 22 September 2023 respectively. The creditworthiness of the Laender is based on the strong support mechanisms that apply to all members of the federation and the extensive liquidity facilities from which they benefit. Such support ensures timely payment and equates the creditworthiness of the states to that of Germany (AAA/Stable/F1+).

**Support Mechanisms:** Fitch has identified support mechanisms that apply uniformly to all members of the German federation, comprising the federal government (Bund) and the 16 federated states, which include the five states involved in this joint issue. Differences in their individual economic and financial performances are irrelevant, as all Laender are equally entitled to financial support in the event of financial distress.

**Implicit Guarantee:** The solidarity and implicit guarantee mechanisms linking the federal government and the Laender ensure an equal and minimum risk of default for all. Fitch does not believe the strength of the support mechanisms will change in the medium term.

**Liquidity Is Underpinned:** Rhineland-Palatinate will act as paying agent. The liquidity of the issue is underpinned by the safe cash-management system operated by the Laender (overnight cash exchanges between Laender and Bund when necessary, and recourse to appropriate short-term credit lines). The issue is zero risk-weighted and is eligible for a repo at the ECB. The objective of the Laender jumbo joint issue is to offer investors a large and liquid bond, with a portfolio exposure to several issuers.

**Bonds to Fund Laender's Operations:** The bonds will fund the general budget of the Laender, according to their respective constitutions and financial ordinances.

### Rating Considerations

#### Solidarity Enshrined in Constitution

According to the German Constitution (Article 20), the 16 Laender are equal partners with the federal government and have the same rights and duties, even though in practice they are subordinate in some areas. The distribution of responsibilities between the Bund and the Laender guarantees their integration into federal decision-making processes. On average, between half and two-thirds of federal laws require the Laender's approval through the Bundesrat, one of the two legislative bodies in Germany and where the Laender are represented.

### Expected Rating

Rating type	Rating	Amount (EURbn)	Final maturity
IDR	AAA(EXP)	1.0	2031

### Applicable Criteria

Rating Criteria for International Local and Regional Governments (September 2021)

### Related Research

Fitch Affirms State of Bremen at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (September 2023)

Fitch Affirms State of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (September 2023)

Fitch Affirms State of Rhineland-Palatinate at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (September 2023)

Fitch Affirms State of Saarland at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (September 2023)

Fitch Affirms State of Schleswig-Holstein at 'AAA'; Outlook Stable (September 2023)

German Laender – Framework Report (September 2021)

German Laender – Peer Review 2023 (October 2023)

### Analysts

Guido Bach  
+49 69 768076 111  
[guido.bach@fitchratings.com](mailto:guido.bach@fitchratings.com)

Stefanie Wezel  
+49 69 768 076 102  
[stefanie.wezel@fitchratings.com](mailto:stefanie.wezel@fitchratings.com)

### Issuer Contact

Dr. Frank Nagel  
+49 6131 164 266  
[frank.nagel@fm.rlp.de](mailto:frank.nagel@fm.rlp.de)

Dr. Frank Bornholdt  
+49 385 588 14250  
[frank.bornholdt@fm.mv-regierung.de](mailto:frank.bornholdt@fm.mv-regierung.de)

The constitution recognises the need to smooth out disparities in standards of living throughout the country while giving the Laender the opportunity to exercise financial independence. German financial federalism aims to balance resources between the constituent parts of the federation (the 16 Laender and the Bund), as underlined by a tax-sharing system and a double income-equalisation system (*German Laender – Framework Report* under *Related Research* above).

### **Mandatory Support for Laender Facing Financial Difficulties**

In 1992, a ruling of the German Federal Constitutional Court highlighted the *Bundestreuekonzept* as being a “solidarity principle”, according to which all members of the federal republic are jointly responsible for supporting a Land in financial distress. If a Land experiences “extreme budgetary hardship”, it is entitled to financial assistance from all other federal members, as in the cases of Saarland and Bremen after 1994. We therefore view it unlikely that support would not be forthcoming for any member of the federation that may be in financial distress.

Fitch believes the decision by the Federal Constitutional Court on 19 October 2006 on Berlin’s appeal for extraordinary financial support reaffirmed the validity of the *Bundestreuekonzept*. This was despite the Court’s rejection of Berlin’s appeal for extraordinary support because the capital city had not reached extreme budgetary hardship.

The Court also reaffirmed the obligation for the federal government and Laender to provide financial support as a last resort (“ultima ratio”), should a Land become unable to fulfil its constitutional duties. In Fitch’s view, this confirms the strong support of German financial federalism, which has been one of the key rating factors (alongside the equalisation system and the Laender’s cash management) underpinning the ‘AAA’ rating that Fitch has assigned to the German Laender since 1999.

### **Extensive Equalisation Scheme Compensates for Financial Disparity**

The constitutional support system works together with the far-reaching tax-sharing and equalisation system to establish a preventative framework to help the Laender avoid financial difficulties. The main taxes, which are personal income, corporate and VAT, are shared among the Bund, the Laender and the local authorities. Tax rates are set by federal law, minimising competition between Laender, but giving them almost no tax discretion.

The three-stage equalisation process (*Laenderfinanzausgleich*) significantly reduces financial disparities among the Laender. The first two steps consist of sharing VAT and other tax proceeds among the Laender, while the third element takes the form of additional federal transfers to the weakest Land. Differences in financial strength are almost entirely balanced out.

### **Safe Liquidity Management Prevents Delay in Support**

Fitch believes that the issuers, like the rest of the Laender, practise safe and predictable liquidity management. The Laender manage sufficient cash to cover one year of liquidity needs so that a liquidity crisis could not arise unexpectedly. The Laender are tax collectors, their expenditure tends not to be volatile and they have substantial, predictable cash flow.

Liquidity in the short term is managed via daily cash transfers between the Laender as an alternative to the capital markets, and through credit and back-up lines individually negotiated by each Land, which are available at short notice. The Laender are on an equal footing with banks in the interbank market and therefore have good access to liquidity through a wide range of public and private banks.

## Debt Brake

The Federalism Reform II (Föderalismusreform II) is aimed at preparing the Bund and the Laender for a commonly agreed debt limit. As a result, both are required to balance their budgets without taking on new debt. Only the Bund will be allowed to increase net debt, up to 0.35% of GDP (structural debt).

Exemptions will be allowed during economic downturns to stimulate the economy and in extraordinary circumstances, such as natural disasters, when support is required quickly. Should the Bund or a Land make use of those exemptions, it will have to set up measures to reduce this debt within a specific period.

The rules apply from 2011 but following the global financial crisis, both the Bund and Laender permitted a transition period for the introduction of the new rules until 2015 (for the Bund) and 2019 (for the Laender). As 2020 was significantly affected by the Covid-19 pandemic, the Bund and Laender reported large deficits following extensive supporting measures. The Bund and Laender agreed that this is an exception and the corresponding debt will be subject to specific repayment schedules.

## Statistical Overview of the Relevant Laender

	Population 2022 (000s)	GDP, 2022 (EURbn at current prices)	GDP per capita 2022 (EUR at current prices)	Public debt <sup>a</sup> 3Q23 (EURbn)	Public debt <sup>a</sup> per capita 3Q23 (EUR)
Bremen	680	38.7	56,901	22.7	33,401
Mecklenburg- Western Pomerania	1,628	53.4	32,837	7.3	4,484
Rhineland-Palatinate	4,151	171.7	41,366	26.4	6,349
Saarland	989	38.5	38,925	13.0	13,127
Schleswig-Holstein	2,946	112.8	38,274	31.5	10,698

<sup>a</sup> According to SFK4 reporting.

Source: Fitch Ratings, Volkswirtschaftliche Gesamtrechnungen der Laender, German Federal Ministry of Finance

## SOLICITATION & PARTICIPATION STATUS

For information on the solicitation status of the ratings included within this report, please refer to the solicitation status shown in the relevant entity's summary page of the Fitch Ratings website.

For information on the participation status in the rating process of an issuer listed in this report, please refer to the most recent rating action commentary for the relevant issuer, available on the Fitch Ratings website.

## DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.